

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

X.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent/and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,143	11/18/2003	Ling Yuk Cheung	KONG-22	7253
38834	4 7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			WINSTON, RANDALL O	
SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1654	·

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,143	CHEUNG, LING YUK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Randall Winston	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No.	ovember 2003.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the leading of the leading of the leading abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0404. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,143

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabled for a composition and method of treating and/or ameliorating gastritis comprising a plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of the claimed alternating electric field, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it mostly nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope of the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to create composition and method of preventing gastritis comprising a plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of the claimed alternating electric field.

Please note the term prevent is an absolute definition which means to stop from occurring and, as such, requires a higher standard for enablement than the instantly disclosed invention (e.g. Applicant has only demonstrated in the examples 1-3 of the specification on pages 20-25 of the specification, a composition and method of treating and/or ameliorating gastritis comprising a plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of the claimed alternating electric field.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,143

Art Unit: 1654

Accordingly, it would take undue experimentation without a reasonable expectation of success for one skill in the art to create a composition and method of preventing gastritis comprising a plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of the claimed alternating electric field.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is rendered vague and indefinite by the phrase "culturing a plurality of yeast cells in the presence of an alternating electric field." It is unclear whether the plurality of yeast cells in the presence of an alternating electric field has been modified. Therefore applicant is suggested to insert in claim 10, lines 6 after the term "mammal", the phrase "as compared to yeast cells not having been so cultured" to indicate the plurality of yeast cells in the presence of an alternating electric field has been modified.

All other claims depend directly or indirectly from the rejected claims and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set forth above.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Application/Control Number: 10/717,143

Art Unit: 1654

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/717,132, claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/717,136 and claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/717,133. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patently distinct from each other because in both cases, the claims are drawn to a composition comprising a plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of a similar alternating electric field which encompass the same and/or similar strains therein.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent 6,709,849.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from
each other because in both cases, the claims are drawn to a composition comprising a
plurality of yeast cells cultured in the presence of a similar alternating electric field which

Art Unit: 1654

encompass the same and/or similar strains therein. Further, the instantly claimed invention encompasses the claimed invention of 6,709,849.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER